

Can AI Beat Human Intuition For Complex Decision-Making? I Think Not.

Source: josh-bersin | **Author:** joshbersin | **Published:** July 27, 2025 | **PMS Relevance:** PMS RELATED | **Type:** Opinion/Commentary | **Geography:** Global

Source URL: <https://joshbersin.com/2025/07/can-ai-beat-human-intuition-for-decision-making-n>

Executive Summary

This article argues that AI cannot replace human intuition in complex decision-making, particularly in business contexts. The author contends that human emotional intelligence and intuitive decision-making stem from millions of years of evolution, genetic makeup, and lived experiences that AI cannot replicate. While acknowledging AI's capabilities in data processing and probabilistic analysis, the author maintains that human 'gut feelings' and emotional responses provide irreplaceable insights that complement and often override algorithmic decisions. The article draws on theories of emotion and evolutionary psychology to support the position that human decision-making combines genetic predispositions, personal history, and instinctive responses in ways that current AI systems cannot match. The author concludes that despite massive investments in AI development, no amount of computing power can replicate the complex, evolutionary-based intelligence that humans possess.

Key Insights

1. Human intuition is based on millions of years of evolution, genetic makeup, and lived experiences that AI cannot replicate
2. AI systems rely on probabilistic neural networking trained on existing data, but may lack the depth of human emotional and instinctive processing
3. Teams of executives can look at identical data and reach different conclusions based on their individual human perspectives and backgrounds

Practical Takeaways

- Human gut-feel should complement and finalize decisions that machines may make rather than being replaced by them
- Organizations should value the diverse emotional and intuitive perspectives that different executives bring to decision-making processes

Critical Analysis

Strengths include a thoughtful exploration of the evolutionary basis of human decision-making and recognition of AI's current limitations. However, the article lacks empirical evidence to support its claims, relies heavily on anecdotal examples, and may underestimate the potential for AI advancement. The argument is somewhat philosophical rather than evidence-based, and the author's engineering

background may create bias toward human capabilities.

Organizational Practice

Executives in business settings regularly make complex decisions by combining data analysis with intuitive judgments, often reaching different conclusions when presented with identical information

Strategic Implications

The article suggests that as AI capabilities expand, organizations may need to maintain emphasis on human decision-making elements, particularly in complex strategic situations where emotional intelligence and evolutionary-based intuition provide unique value

Validation Status: passed

Generated by Peoplense (peoplense.com) on 2026-07-18 00:20 UTC