February 2026 Product Updates: Greater Flexibility in Compensation, Enhanced Performance Workflows, and More | Lattice

Source: lattice | **Published:** February 28, 2026 | **PMS Relevance:** PMS RELATED | **Type:** News/Analysis | **Geography:** Global

Source URL: https://lattice.com/blog/february-2026-product-updates

Executive Summary

This article is a product update release note published by Lattice, a commercial HR software vendor, summarizing new platform features released in February 2026. The piece addresses operational pain points in performance management workflows, compensation cycle administration, engagement analytics, and goal tracking. Lattice's central argument is that the February 2026 updates reduce manual administrative work and improve flexibility across people management processes. Key features announced include grouped question reporting for engagement and review cycles, fiscal year and quarter support in analytics, bulk peer reviewer upload via CSV, mid-cycle compensation eligibility changes without cycle restarts, and a simplified onboarding experience for the Lattice Agent (an AI assistant). The article concludes by directing readers to Lattice's product update portal or account managers for further information, and includes a call-to-action for non-customers to request a demo. No independent research, third-party data, or academic citations are present. The content is entirely vendor-produced and promotional in nature.

Key Insights

1. Lattice introduced grouped question analysis across engagement surveys and review cycles, enabling trend comparison across conceptually related questions without manual data exports.

2. Mid-cycle compensation eligibility changes — moving employees from Hidden to Eligible status — can now be made without restarting the compensation cycle, addressing a common administrative friction point.

3. The Lattice Agent onboarding experience has been simplified to a single-page setup for Super Admins, with clearer separation between traditional search and AI-driven queries in the global search interface.

Practical Takeaways

- Organizations using Lattice on non-calendar fiscal years now have native fiscal quarter and fiscal year support in analytics, reducing the need for external data manipulation to align reporting with internal planning cycles.
- Bulk peer reviewer assignment via CSV upload is now available, which may reduce administrative time in high-volume or complex review environments managed within the Lattice platform.

Critical Analysis

Strengths: The article is detailed and specific about feature functionality, providing concrete descriptions of what each update does and the problem it addresses. It is organized by product area, making it easy to locate relevant changes. Limitations: The article is entirely vendor-produced marketing content with no independent verification, user outcome data, or third-party validation. All claims about efficiency gains and administrative reduction are asserted without evidence. There is no mention of limitations, known issues, or tradeoffs associated with the new features. Biases: The tone is unambiguously promotional, culminating in a demo request call-to-action. The framing consistently positions Lattice updates as solutions to universal HR pain points, without acknowledging that these are platform-specific features applicable only to existing or prospective Lattice customers.

Organizational Practice

The article describes platform-mediated practices including peer reviewer assignment via bulk CSV upload, calibration session management with visibility controls for review directions, mid-cycle compensation eligibility adjustment, grouped question reporting for engagement and performance review analytics, and AI assistant activation workflows within an HR software environment.

Strategic Implications

The feature set described reflects a broader industry trend toward reducing administrative friction in performance and compensation cycles through automation and configurable workflows. The emphasis on mid-cycle flexibility in compensation and analytics alignment with fiscal calendars suggests that organizations are operating with increasingly dynamic workforce conditions that standard annual cycle designs do not accommodate. The integration of an AI assistant layer into HR workflows — alongside clearer UI separation between AI and traditional search — points to ongoing tension between AI discoverability and accidental activation in enterprise HR platforms.

Validation Status: passed
